

East Anglia ONE North East Anglia TWO

Report: Interested Parties Not Attending the Preliminary Meeting Procedural Issues for Consideration (Including Previously Redacted Merits Considerations)

Note:

This report presents the same source material (written submissions made at Procedural Deadline A using the Preliminary Meetings Involvement Form (PMIF)) as reports with the same title published in the Examination Libraries under reference [PD-015]. In those reports, submissions addressing the planning merits of the submitted applications were redacted. The decision to redact those reports was taken because the submissions sought at Procedural Deadline A related to procedural decisions about how the applications should be examined. The examinations had not commenced, and merits submissions were not sought at that time. (The first merits submissions were sought at Deadline 1 in the examinations timetables).

The Examining Authorities (ExAs) made commitments at the Preliminary Meetings that merits submissions raised prior to the start of the examinations would be considered at the appropriate time: once the examinations had commenced. This unredacted report has been published in response to those undertakings. It makes previously redacted merits submissions from the PMIF available to Interested Parties on the same basis and timescale as other merits submissions (Written Representations) made at Deadline 1. Interested parties wishing to respond to merits matters raised in this report are invited to do so by Deadline 2, identifying this report as their source.

Procedural submissions have been retained to provide context, but readers should note that as those submissions related to the procedural decisions made following the Preliminary Meetings, they have all now been considered by the ExAs. It follows that responses to the procedural submissions in this report are not sought and that any that are submitted will be disregarded.



Contents

Alan Hatfield	5
Alan Thomas	7
Amanda Jinks	7
Angela Mallinson	8
Anthony Fincham	11
Anthony Nigel Morley	11
Bridget Chadwick	13
Brigitte D'Angelo	15
Christine Laschet	17
Carole Patricia Morley	17
Chris Wheeler	19
Christine Munden	19
David Patrick Sean Steen	21
Derek Brough	21
Elizabeth Corstorphine Thomas	23
Fiona Cramb	24
Fiona Gilmore	26
Frances Cardy	28
Gary Waple	29
Gillian Horrocks	30
Glynis Robertson	32
Harry Tyler	34
Henrietta Palmer	36
lain Brown	38
lan Shipman	40
Jan Packard	40
Jenny Kennedy	42
Jenny Wells	44
Jo Beedell	45
John Grover	47
John Walker	49
Jonathan Franklin	51
Iulia Wheeler	51



Katherine Mackie	52
Katherine Victoria Drayton	53
Karen Flower	55
Kirsty Dixon	55
Lawrence Mallinson	57
Louise Fincham	59
Luigi Beltrandi	60
Marianne Fellowes	62
Marie Szpak	65
Martin Cotter	66
Mary Shipman	66
Michael Anthony Lewis	66
Michael Challoner Jones	67
Michael Mahony	67
Mr Martin Freeman	70
Mrs Alison Andrews	71
Mrs Sheridan Steen	73
Mrs Pat Dorcey	73
Mya Manakides	74
Natasha Victoria Green	75
Nichola Jane Winter	77
Nicholas Bruce Winter	78
Nicholas Green	78
Nicholas Thorp	80
NICHOLAS THORP on behalf of Beach View Holiday Park	81
Nicola (Ning) Suzanne Fulford	81
Oliver Carruthers	83
Patrick Fincham	85
Patrick Steen	87
Paul Bongers de Rath	88
Peter Chadwick	90
Peter Chadwick on behalf of Save our Sandlings	92
Peter Furnivall	94
Piers Sturridge	95



Richard Cooper	97
Richard Piercy	97
Richard Henry Reeves	98
Rosie Norton	98
Rt Hon Dr Therese Coffey MP	99
Sally Ann Sturridge	100
SEAS (Suffolk Energy Action Solutions) Campaign Group	101
Shanna Jayne Paterson on behalf of the Marine Management Organis	ation (MMO)102
Simon Nicholas Fulford	103
Simon John Andrew Ive on behalf of Friston Parochial Church Council	104
Simon John Andrew Ive	104
Tessa Katharine Wojtczak	105
Thelma Joan Cramb	107
Tim Beach on behalf of Snape Parish Council	109
Tony Munden	110
Tristan Steen	111
William Robert Halford	112
Alan Bullard	116
Jan Bullard	117
Simon John Andrew Ive on behalf of Friston Parochial Church Council	117
Simon John Andrew Ive	119
Chris Wheeler	121
Julia Wheeler	122
Annex A	
Allan Bullard	rror! Bookmark not defined.16
Jan Bullard	. Error! Bookmark not defined.
Simon John Andrew Ive on behalf of Friston Parochial Church Council	. Error! Bookmark not defined.
Simon John Andrew Ive	. Error! Bookmark not defined.
Chris Wheeler	. Error! Bookmark not defined.
Julia Wheeler	. Error! Bookmark not defined.



Alan Hatfield

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- · 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension



Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

All these energy projects are being considered without a strategy document in force. But at the same time a strategy process is being started on 15thJuly, the Minister of Energy (BEIS), Kwasi Kwarteng, announced the Offshore Transmission Network Review (OTNR). This review will provide a much needed strategy overview of the industry but will be too late to include the projects considered in the planning process.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

· Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Is there anything else?

ofgem have produced a strategy document for North Sea energy https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/system/files/docs/2019/12/ukcs_energy_integration-interim_findings.pdf

This document describes existing north sea platforms used as substations and connectors for the emerging north sea ring main. I suggest the planners read it.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I will be submitting one or more supporting documents (.pdf files) by email



Alan Thomas

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?

The Applicant has sought consent for the two developments as separate entities. As a consequence there are a number of possible outcomes:

- Both projects are accepted
- EA1(N) is consented, but EA2 is rejected
- EA2 is consented but EA1(N) is rejected
- Both EA(1) and EA2 applications are rejected

Depending on the above, the situation regarding the concomitant approval for the development of a totally new substation by National Grid on the same site at Friston as EA1(N) and EA2 alters dramatically in terms of size and technology, if 'Value for Money' requirements expressed by OFGEM are to be sustained. There would be no justification for a completely separate National Grid substation.

The conduct of the Preliminary Meeting, which sets the framework for all subsequent meetings and written submissions, should acknowledge the possible range of outcomes before setting the conduct of the hearings "in stone" Alan Thomas

Amanda Jinks

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted 1 Oct 2020, the Examinging Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Prelim Meetings schedules for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted 1 Oct 2020, the Examinging Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings schedules for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

Please add these questions to agenda item 4 annex C - EA1N and EA2 - Initial Assessment of Principal Issues:



- 1. Why did the National Planning Insepctorate allow two separate DCE applications to be conjoined It is unprecendented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfaor process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 The Cumulative Effect Please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C: NAUTILUS, EUROLINK, SCD1, SCd2, GREATER GABBARD GALLOPER.

Add Sizewell B & C & EA1N & EA2 to these, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with the Crown Estates Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy development should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCOs to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should not be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of 6 months beginning with the day after the close of the Prelim Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

EA1N & EA2 the deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2 ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August/early September prior to the MP meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Angela Mallinson

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3



Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C - EA1N and EA2 - Initial Assessment of Principal Issues

- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- · 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review have been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form



Anthony Fincham

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

There should be a physical meeting which as I understand it will be permissible from 1 October 2020. Many people will not have the facility to participate in a video meetings and in any event it is an unsatisfactory method for dealing with these matters.

Agenda Item 4

The whole process should be suspended pending the BEIS Review. To go ahead now would be a tantamount to hanging a man before his appeal has been heard.

Agenda Item 6

See 12 above.

Agenda Item 7

The deadline for representations should be delayed until after the outcome of the Review.

Agenda Item 8

There should be issue specific physical hearings on key onshore matters.

Agenda Item 9

See other answers

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Anthony Nigel Morley

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Following the announcement by the DCMS on 17th July 2020 which states thar business events and conferences will be permitted from 1st October 2020, the examining authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda item 3 - EA1N and EA2 - Given the announcement by DCMS on 17th July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1st October 2020, the examining authorities should now hold physical not virtual hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4



PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C - EA1N and EA2- Initial assessment of Principal Issues.

1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicants favour.

2. Why has National Grid not presented it's own DCO application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 applications?

3. Annex C items 2,11,15 and 17 - THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

AS AN AIDE MEMOIR PLEASE LIST THE PROPOSED ENERGY PROJECTS IN ANNEX C AND ASTERISK ITEMS 2,11,15 and17.

NAUTILUS - National Grid Ventures - Sizewell to Belgium interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector.

EUROLINK - National Grid Ventures - Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from Friston Interconnector.

SCD1 - National Grid - Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5 Kms from the Friston Interconnector.

SCD2 - National Gris- Sizewell to Sellindge Gris Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector.

GREATER GABBARD WINDFARM EXTENSION.

GALLOPER WINDFARM EXTENSION.

ADD SIZEWELL B AND C PLUS EA1N AND EA2 to the above , that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with the Crown Estates Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4.

IT IS COMPLETELY UNPRECEDENTED THAT A WHOLE DISTRICT SHOULD BE INDUSTRIALISED ON SUCH A SCALE. ALL PROPOSED ENERGY DEVELOPMENTS SHOULD BE SERIOUSLY CONSIDERED IN EA1N AND EA2 DCO APPLICATIONS, THE FIRST OF THE DCOs TO. EXAMINED BY GHE PLANNING INSPECTORATE.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistant with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.



The Examining Authority should also take into account OFGEM's Decarbonisation Policy which states that « we do not consider that individual radial offshore transmission links - are likely to be economical, sensible or acceptable for local communities » and they want to consider offshore loops to reduce the onshore installations such as being proposed here.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N and EA2 - the deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the BEIS Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 -there should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 - ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2nd.

Late Autumn is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits . The land will be dormant , wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August/early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Bridget Chadwick

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C - EA1N and EA2 - Initial Assessment of Principal Issues

1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.



- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex Citems 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.



Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 — ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Is there anything else?

I have questions about the Examination process that I would like to be considered at Agenda Items 2 or 3

- · Agenda Item 2 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.
- · Agenda Item 3 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Brigitte D'Angelo

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

· Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

• PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C - EA1N and EA2 - Initial Assessment of Principal Issues



- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- · 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

· Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

- · Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.
- · Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2 ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Is there anything else?

With an ageing population and haphazard broadband it is not acceptable to only have these hearings online when the outcome affects the lives of so many local people. Many people will be put off by online forms.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Christine Laschet

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?

I am a hearing aid wearer and unless speakers express themselves clearly I may not be able to understand what they are saying.

Carole Patricia Morley

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda item 2 - EA1N & EA2. Given the announcement by the DCMS on 17th July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1st October 2020, the Examining Authorities should hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C- EA1N & EA2- Initial assessment of Principal Issues.

1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in thé applicant's favour.

- 2. Why has National Grid not presented it's own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EA1N & EA2 applications ?
- 3. Annex C items 2,11,15 and 17- THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide mémoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2,11,15 & 17.

NAUTILUS - National Grid Ventures - Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of new 25m high connector station 5kms from Friston Interconnector substations.

EUROLINK - National Grid Ventures - Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25 m high connector station within 5kms of Friston substations.

SCD1- National Grid -Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston sub stations.

SCD2- National Grid - Sizewell to Sellindge. Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from Friston substations.

GREATER GABBARD WINDFARM EXTENSION.

GALLOPER WINDFARM EXTENSION

ADD SIZEWELL B & C PLUS EA1N and EA2 to the above and that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 with more to come with THE CROWN ESTATES OFFSHORE WIND LEASING ROUND 4 sue anytime. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale. ALL THESE PROPOSED ENERGY DEVELOPMENTSSHOULD BE FULLY CONSIDERED AS PART OF THE EA1N & EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N & EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary meetings and examinations SHOULD BE SUSPENDED UNTIL SUCH TIME AS THE REVIEW HAS BEEN COMPLETED. The Examination Authority should not be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of 6 months beginning with the day

After the close of the Preliminary meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should until after the results of this Review have published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

ALSO THE COMMENTS MADE BY OFGEM IN THEIR DECARBONISATION ACTION PLAN MUST BE CONSIDERED. OFGEM STATE THAT INDIVIDUAL RADIAL TRANSMISSION LINKS ARE NOT LIKELY TO. BE ECONOMICAL, SENSIBLE OR ACCEPTABLE TO LOCAL COMMUNITIES AND THAT NEAR SHORE LOOPS MUST BE CONSIDERED TO REDUCE THE IMPACT ON LOCAL COMMUNITIES.

Agenda Item 7



Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2- the deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the BEIS Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N & EA2 - There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

Agenda. - item 8 - EA1N & EA2. ACCOMPANIED VISITS

November 2nd is late Autumn and too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds migrated. In habitat and biodiversity cases, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would The ExA consider bringing them forward to August/early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Chris Wheeler

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?

I also wish to observe the Preliminary Meetings.

Christine Munden

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.



Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?

Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 - THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8



Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

David Patrick Sean Steen

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

I would like to know why the procedure is laid out in such a complicated way and why there is no simplistic guide, laid out in layman's terms, to help interested parties that do not have the financial resources or personnel of large organisations, government departments, or councils, through the procedure. The way the new rule 6 has been written it appears to have been designed to frustrate and put off any interested party who do not have these resources from participating in the procedure. For example there are far to many anachronism's used which becomes very confusing when trying to understand Rule 6.

I would also like to know how and why it was decided that the preliminary meeting should be held virtually which again puts any interested party that do not have the resources (as mentioned above) at a serious disadvantage of being able to participate in a fair and meaningful way due to poor, slow and unreliable broadband coverage, possible lack of knowledge and understanding with regards various new forms of internet based communication such as Zoom and Teams. This seriously disenfranchises many people who would like to participate.

Is there anything else?

I would like to know, in view of the fact that the Department of Business Energy and Industrial Strategy is holding a full inquiry into the Offshore Transmission Network, (announced in July 2020) why the planning applications for East Anglia One North and East Anglia Two have not been put on hold until the review has been completed, as it will have a major impact on how offshore power will be collected, stored and distributed in the future.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Derek Brough

Interested in: Both Applications



Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

• Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

- PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C EA1N and EA2 Initial Assessment of Principal Issues
- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.



Agenda Item 6

• Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

- Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.
- Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2 ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Elizabeth Corstorphine Thomas

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 3: Given the level of community interest recorded in EA1N and EA2 onshore development and given the limitations of technology in this region combined with the differing personal skills of the IP's in accessing technology, the virtual hearings cannot be considered fair and non discriminatory

Agenda Item 4

Agenda Item4: Has PINS taken full account in this procedure of the cumulative onshore effect of all the other developments planned for this area? ie

Nautilus Eurolink SCD1 SCD2 Greater Gabbard Galloper, Sizewell C and B

The onshore impact of all these developments must be considered in any DCO application.



Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6: Given there has been an Offshore Transmission Review announced by BEIS and EA1N and EA2 are within the time scale of this Review will the Planning inspector show they have taken this into account and delay the DCO?

Agenda Item 7

Agenda Item7: The procedural requests should be delayed until the outcome of the Review currently being undertaken by BEIS is known.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda Item 8 Issue Specific hearings should be categorised into onshore and offshore issues.

Agenda Item 8 ASI should be carried out at all seasons to ensure a fair reflection of the region. Visits should include knowledgeable residents and heritage caretakers ie church wardens.

Is there anything else?

The Planning Inspector should be aware that residents in this area are very keen to take part in this process but the method of Virtual Hearings is way beyond the ability of some to access. This in no way reflects their disinterest purely a lack of ability for which no one should be penalised. Residents of Suffolk are clear in their wish to promote and protect this area and their voices should be heard.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Fiona Cramb

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

- . I have questions about the Examination process that I would like to be considered at Agenda Items 2 or 3
- · Agenda Item 2 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.
- · Agenda Item 3 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4



I have questions or comments about the Initial Assessment of Principal Issues that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 4

- PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C EA1N and EA2 Initial Assessment of Principal Issues
- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- · 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS - National Grid Ventures - Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston

Interconnector

- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Question 14. I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 6

· Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition



to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review

Agenda Item 8

I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 8

- · Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.
- · Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2 ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Fiona Gilmore

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

3

- · Agenda Item 2 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.
- · Agenda Item 3 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4



- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- · 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.



Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

· Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Frances Cardy

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- · 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- \cdot 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT. As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17
- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension



- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Gary Waple

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector



- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Gillian Horrocks

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

1) Agenda item 2, EAN1 and EA2: the Dept of Culture, Media and Sport announced on 17 July 2020 that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1-10-2020. The examining authority



should wait until after this date to proceed with proper, not virtual meetings. I suggest the venue remains Snape Maltings, as originally planned.

2) Agenda item 3, EAN1 and EA2:the Dept of Culture, Media and Sport announced on 17 July 2020 that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1-10-2020. The examining authority should wait until after this date to proceed with proper, not virtual meetings. I suggest the venue remains Snape Maltings, as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

- 1) Why has the National Planning Inspectorate allowed 2 separate DCO applications to be conjoined? There is no precedent. It doubles the amount of work for those of us who want to take part and for PINS. This makes the process unbalanced and weighted in favour of the applicants.
- 2) Why has the National Grid not produced its own DCO application? Why is it buried within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 applications?
- 3) Annex C items 2, 11, 15, 17 the cumulative effect: the proposed energy projects in these items should be included and held in mind:
- *1 Nautilus, (National Grid Ventures) Sizewell to Belgium interconnector plus a new connector station some 5km from the proposed Friston station, 25m high
- *2 Eurolink , (National Grid Ventures) Sizewell to Holland interconnector plus a new connector station some 5km from the proposed Friston station, 25m high
- *3 SCD1 (National Grid Ventures) Sizewell to Canterbury Grid interconnector plus a new connector station some 5km from the proposed Friston station, 25m high
- *4 SCD2 (National Grid Ventures) Sizewell to Sellindge Grid interconnector plus a new connector station some 5km from the proposed Friston station, 25m high
- *5 Greater Gabbard Windfarm extension
- *6 Galloper Windfarm extension
- *7 EA1N
- *8 EA2

With Sizewell C&D nuclear construction, that makes 10 initial energy projects for 2021-35, before we reach the Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4.

It is unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and within such a time frame. Therefore all 10 energy projects should be considered in these initial two applications to the Planning Inspectorate for EAN1 and EA2

Agenda Item 6

BEIS has ordered a review into how the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the aim to achieve net zero emissions by 2050. Therefore the preliminary meetings



and examinations should be suspended until this review has been completed. The Examination Authority should not be under a duty to complete the examination by the end of the six month period beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. The Examining Authority should wait until after the results of the Review have been published before embarking on scrutiny of the applications for EA1N and EA2

Agenda Item 7

(EA1N and EA2) The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and all other submissions should be suspended until after the publication of the review order by BEIS

Agenda Item 8

(EA1N and EA2) There should be issue-specific hearings on key onshore matters.

SITE VISITS The accompanied site visits in November are too late, when migration is long past and flora and fauna are in dormancy. This will prevent an accurate assessment of habitat and biodiversity. Please consider bringing the site visits forward to August, certainly prior to any PM meeting.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Glynis Robertson

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

- Agenda Item 2 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary
 Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.
- Agenda Item 3 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

- PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C EA1N and EA2 Initial Assessment of Principal Issues
- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.



- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

• Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

• Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

• Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.



• Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Is there anything else?

The sooner we get back to meetings in person, the fairer the DCO Examination will be. Presently, virtual meetings are not a fair process, especially with over 800 interested parties many of whom may not be able to participate.

Also why waste the tax payers money, the Public, the Planning Inspectors and the Developers time on a process that should be halted until the Offshore Transmission Network Review is completed.

I welcome Wind Energy, but not at the cost of the environment and residents well being. It needs an agreed National Strategy prior to this planning decision.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Harry Tyler

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2

Further to the recent announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport (17 July 2020) stating that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, it is my view that the Examining Authorities should hold a physical Preliminary Meeting as opposed to a virtual one. It is unclear to me why, for the sake of two weeks' delay, these meetings should be held in such a limiting format. It will be a much fairer process to hold the meetings in person as opposed to virtually, many respondents struggle with the technology needed and is commonly accepted to be less effective as physical meetings. I see no good reason to hold the meetings virtually two weeks early than when physical meetings will be permitted - I would be grateful if the ExA could confirm why they think that a virtual meeting is satisfactory when just a two week postponement could result in a physical meeting?

To ensure that these meetings are as closely aligned to the original process as possible, they should be held at Snape Maltings. Please can the Examining Authority provide an answer as to why a virtual meeting being held in mid September 2020 is preferable to, and as fair to the respondents as, holding an in person meeting on or around 1 October 2020?

Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2

Further to the recent announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport (17 July 2020) stating that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, it is my view



that the Examining Authorities should hold a physical Preliminary Meeting as opposed to a virtual one. It is unclear to me why, for the sake of two weeks' delay, these meetings should be held in such a limiting format. It will be a much fairer process to hold the meetings in person as opposed to virtually, many respondents struggle with the technology needed and is commonly accepted to be less effective as physical meetings. I see no good reason to hold the meetings virtually two weeks early than when physical meetings will be permitted - I would be grateful if the ExA could confirm why they think that a virtual meeting is satisfactory when just a two week postponement could result in a physical meeting?

To ensure that these meetings are as closely aligned to the original process as possible, they should be held at Snape Maltings. Please can the Examining Authority provide an answer as to why a virtual meeting being held in mid September 2020 is preferable to, and as fair to the respondents as, holding an in person meeting on or around 1 October 2020?

Agenda Item 4

PLEASE ADD THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C - EA1N and EA2 - Initial Assessment of Principal Issues

- Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17:

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is



completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2.

Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications. The results of this Review could have a highly material impact on the viability and effectiveness of the project.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2.

The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2.

Please could you tell us why there isn't to be an issue specific hearing on key onshore matters?

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 - ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS

November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider either bringing them forward to early September prior to the PM meeting, or instead having two visits, one in Autumn and one in Spring? A habitat and biodiversity case cannot be assessed as a snapshot - instead a complete view has to be taken as to the complete habitat and biodiversity of the site. If the ExA reject this proposal, please can they provide a response as to why they consider a single site visit in late November to be sufficient for carrying out a complete review.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Henrietta Palmer

Interested in: Both Applications



Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media andSport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020 the Examining Authorities should now hold physical, NOT virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The Venue should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda 3 - EA1 N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Departure of Culture Media and sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020 the Examining Authorities should now hold physical, NOT virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The Venue should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

The 'plans' for Friston are hugely disruptive to the local community many of whom do not have adequate internet.

Agenda Item 4

PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTION TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C-EA1N AND EA2.- Initial Assessment of Principal Issues

- 1) Why did the NPI allow two separate DCO application to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in and unequal and u fair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicants favour.
- 2) Why has the National Grid not printed its own DCO application but hidden it within SpR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3) Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT

As an aide memoir, please list the following proposed energy projects inAnnex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15, and 17.

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5km from the Friston Interconnector.
- _EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25 m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- -SCD1- National Grid- Sizewell to Canterbury Grid interconnect and siting of a new 25m high connector station 5 kms from the Friston interconnector
- -SCD2- National GRid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid interconnector and siting of a new 25mhigh connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2-National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD WindfarmExtension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension



Add Sizewell B&C PLUS EA1N and EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale, let alone a district in the middle of the countryside part of AONB and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

lain Brown

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

 Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary
 Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.



• Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

- PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C EA1N and EA2 Initial Assessment of Principal Issues
- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

• Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be



suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

• Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

- Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.
- Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2 ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Ian Shipman

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?

As a local resident I would ask for Issue Specific Hearings to be held on all onshore impacts including, Flooding, Traffic & Transport, Heritage, Landscape, Footpaths, Noise, Socio-economics/tourism, Light pollution, Ecology and wildlife sites. I also ask for detailed analysis of the DCO as well as consideration of cumulative impact and the relation of the Scottish Power projects with other energy proposals in the area.

Jan Packard

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N Given the announcement by the Dept of Culture Meia and Sport on 17 july 20 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 Oct 20, the ~Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned

Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2 Given the announcement by the Dept of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 20 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 Oct 20, the



Examining Authorities should now hold phusical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

Please add these questions to agenda item 4 annex c - EA1N and EA2 - initial Assessment of Principal Issues

1 Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicants favour.

2 Why has the National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it wihtin SPRs EAN1 and EA2 Applications?

3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 - THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six



months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

· Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Jenny Kennedy

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2. EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and sport on 17th July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1st October 2020 the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda item 3. EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17July 2020 which states taht business events and conferences will be permitted from 1st October 2020 the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

Please add these questions to the Agenda Item 4 Annex C EA1N and EA2 Initial Assessment of Principle Issues

• 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.



- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

• Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

• Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

• Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.



• Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Jenny Wells

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

- · Agenda Item 2 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.
- · Agenda Item 3 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C - EA1N and EA2 - Initial Assessment of Principal Issues

- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- · 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector



- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

· Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Jo Beedell

Interested in: Both Applications



- Agenda Item 2 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary
 Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.
- Agenda Item 3 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C - EA1N and EA2 - Initial Assessment of Principal Issues

- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.



Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

• Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

• Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

John Grover

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

• PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C - EA1N and EA2 - Initial Assessment of Principal Issues



- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- · 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.



Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

· Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Is there anything else? Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

John Walker

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.

Agenda Item 4



- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17:

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and all proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should not be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.



Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Jonathan Franklin

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Meetings need to be physical not virtual. It is not possible to cover all the necessary arguments by a virtual meeting

Agenda Item 4

Why has the National Grid not come to the table. Please answer this. Thank you.

Agenda Item 6

Surely no further steps should be taken until the results of the current review are known. Please confirm.

Agenda Item 7

Again no further action until the results of the current review are known.

Agenda Item 8

There need to be visits by interested parties.

These visits to be in gthe summer and not in the winter.

Is there anything else? The meeting, physical not virtual should take place at Snape Maltings.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Julia Wheeler

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else? I am concerned that my level of computer literacy is so low that i will not be properly able to observe the Preliminary Meeting and other hearings.

Katherine Mackie

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

· Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- · 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but incorporated it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- · 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension



Add Sizewell C and Sizewell A decommissioning plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be properly taken into account in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the BEIS Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

· Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. These visits should take place in summer 2021.

Is there anything else? It is unsatisfactory that there is no convenient provision for saving and/or printing this form before submission. Please ensure more user-friendly procedures for any further such response forms.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Katherine Victoria Drayton

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

· Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.



Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

- PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C EA1N and EA2 Initial Assessment of Principal Issues
- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- · 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be



suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

• Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

- Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.
- · Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2 ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Karen Flower

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?

The digital recording must be of a high audio quality and capable of hearing all the speakers.

Kirsty Dixon

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.



· Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C - EA1N and EA2 - Initial Assessment of Principal Issues

- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- · 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be



suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

- · Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.
- · Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2 ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Lawrence Mallinson

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

- Agenda Item 2 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.
- Agenda Item 3 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

- PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C EA1N and EA2 Initial Assessment of Principal Issues
- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and



participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.

- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

• Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

• Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8



- Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.
- Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2 ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Louise Fincham

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

- · Agenda Item 2 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.
- Agenda Item 3 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C - EA1N and EA2 - Initial Assessment of Principal Issues

- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- · 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS - National Grid Ventures - Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector

- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Luigi Beltrandi

Interested in: Both Applications



Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C - EA1N and EA2 - Initial Assessment of Principal Issues

- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension



Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Marianne Fellowes

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

These comments apply to both EA1N and EA2:

Item 2

This application is so important in the local area because if it is approved now it will see other projects approved (10 in total) which will feed into the same National Grid point, and required additional sub stations to be located within 5km of Friston. So the Preliminary Hearings should be paused until a full and equitable process can be done in person. No virtual model will be the same, or provide the same opportunity on a level playing field for individuals who are not IT experts or



planning experts and should not be disadvantaged further....taking part is difficult in normal circumstances and PINs appears to have forgotten this. The applicant has a huge advantage. Conferences will be permitted after 1st October 2020, and indoor performances of theatre will follow soon hopefully in September socially distanced, so why cannot Snape Maltings be used for hearings in person as planned? Is it the applicant's timeline to secure the seabed which is driving this decision? If so, why?

Item 3.

Many industries have had to postpone events or developments due to Covid-19 (we have). This application will not really have an impact on restarting the economy of the area which has been affected by Covi-19 infact it would be the last straw for many local businesses such as ours. We need to be doing all we can to secure the future of this area, not bring years of disruption for the gain of UK plc. The Hearings for this DCO application should be deferred until after the Review into the design and development of offshore windfarms. Should this DCO process go ahead it will be perceived as not transparent or inclusive of widely expressed and held views.

Conferences will be permitted after 1st October 2020, and indoor performances of theatre will follow soon hopefully in September socially distanced, so why cannot Snape Maltings be used for hearings in person as planned? Is it the applicant's timeline to secure the seabed which is driving this decision? If so, why?

Agenda Item 4

These comments apply to both applications:

The cumulative effect of what will follow is our biggest concern. The 10 projects referred to above are:

NAUTILUS - National Grid Ventures - Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector

EUROLINK - National Grid Ventures - Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector

SCD1 - National Grid - Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector

SCD2 - National Grid - Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector

GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension

GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Sizewell B extension & potential new build at Sizewell C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above will mean extensive industrialisation in a very small area of rural AONB Heritage Coast.

Why has the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for us, as well as the already unequal



and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour. There is a presumption that both will get approved, there is no indication of what would happen if one was approved and one not.....so there really are one application? The infrastructure required for either EA1N or EA2 would be different. Why were previous approved projects down graded which could have included this capacity.

Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application prior to any application from EA1N Ltd and EA2 Ltd? There is no transparency to this part of the model, and they have not appeared at any meetings or consulted with any local stakeholders. Is there a reason for the lack of investment over the years by National Grid in connections near demand? Why is National Grid Ventures seen as a separate organisation when it is a parallel branch? At what point in the Preliminary Hearings and the Examination Hearings will National Grid be questioned or asked to provide its evidence and rationale for selection of this grid connection point for SPR?

Agenda Item 6

These comments apply to both applications:

This application is so important in the local area because if it is approved now it will see other projects approved (10 in total) which will feed into the same National Grid point, and required additional sub stations to be located within 5km of Friston. So the Preliminary Hearings should be paused until a full and equitable process can be done in person. No virtual model will be the same, or provide the same opportunity on a level playing field for individuals who are not IT experts or planning experts and should not be disadvantaged further....taking part is difficult in normal circumstances and PINs appears to have forgotten this. The applicant has a huge advantage.

Many industries have had to postpone events or developments due to Covid-19 (we have). This application will not really have an impact on restarting the economy of the area which has been affected by Covi-19 infact it would be the last straw for many local businesses such as ours. We need to be doing all we can to secure the future of this area, not bring years of disruption for the gain of UK plc. The Hearings for this DCO application should be deferred until after the Review into the design and development of offshore windfarms. Should this DCO process go ahead it will be perceived as not transparent or inclusive of widely expressed and held views.

Conferences will be permitted after 1st October 2020, and indoor performances of theatre will follow soon hopefully in September socially distanced, so why cannot Snape Maltings be used for hearings in person as planned? Is it the applicant's timeline to secure the seabed which is driving this decision? If so, why?

Agenda Item 7

The deadline for written representations to be submitted and considered should be after the Review and its associated findings have been published. The technology is quickly becoming redundant and the Review may provide a clear and less detrimental stra

Agenda Item 8

These comments apply to both applications:



November/late Autumn is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. These visits should take place before the Preliminary Hearings begin while the height of the growing season and wildlife can be seen prior to wildlife hibernating and birds migrating. IAn accurate assessment cannot be made in late Autumn alone.

Because it is such a large topic there should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

Agenda Item 9

Although the historic setting is considered, the link with Arts and Theatre has not featured strongly in the Preliminary Hearings or the proposed Examination Hearings schedule. The impact on us as a businesses is clearly defined by te DMO report and we would wish this to be highlighted.

Is there anything else?

I was born in the local area and have lived here for 50+ years. The stress caused by these applications has already had a negative impact on my health and well-being as well as the health and well-being of many other people I know. Property blight has already occurred with homeowners unable to sell or having to reduce prices.

The Planning world is your world, you are the experts and as such it is hard for you to understand why the process of engagement is difficult and challenging for individuals and businesses. The language used, examples of forms like this are very difficult for people.

With regard to this form specifically: an option to go back and review would have been helpful. A way to see a completed form or to save responses would be significantly useful and should have been included. It appears that PINs have created this form to serve your purposes only, and this perception further damages your credibility even before the Hearings begin. Why were stakeholders not asked about this, or their needs considered?

This process has put people off from engaging in the Hearings that were going to take part in person.

If stakeholders views are really important and truely to be considered then the DCO application then the process does need to be equitable and fair, a virtual model of hearings (even with opportunities to watch again, take part in writing later) is not. "Theatre is not theatre if there is no audience", and Hearings are not Hearings if PINs cannot HEAR, SEE and LISTEN to a diverse range of stakeholders who are enabled to contribute freely and without prejudice or barriers (actual or perceived).

Thank you for your consideration and opportunity to discuss.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Marie Szpak

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?



Please be aware that in this area the internet connection can be lost easily. I have no experience of using Microsoft Teams so will need guidance on this. I presume it can be used on an apple computer/iPad.

Martin Cotter

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?

I still believe that the meetings should be held in public where people's emotion can be aired. A virtual meeting negates people's passion. You need to see how much we believe that destroying the Suffolk countryside is a crime against future generations.

Mary Shipman

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?

Would the ExA please set out clearly the relationship between this Examination and the recently announced BEIS Review into Onshore Connections for Energy Projects as these appear to be in direct conflict. I ask that the Preliminary Meeting sets out the topics to be covered in Issue Specific Hearings and ask that the following are specifically covered: Flooding (our property is Friston is already at risk); Cumulative Impact and the future of the National Grid substation; Effects on Listed Buildings; Traffic; Ecology; Landscape & Land Use; Noise in Construction and Operation; Tourism & Socio-economic impacts; Footpaths. The DCO itself also requires careful scrutiny.

Michael Anthony Lewis

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?

I fall into the 'vulnerable' category, as described by the Government (age, health) and as such have been socially isolating, and more recently distancing. I am very new to using a computer and can just about manage emails! To date, in order to complete paperwork linked to this DCO application, I have had to break social distancing rules and rely on a good hearted neighbour to assist me. It goes without saying that I am not at all happy with the 'virtual' meeting scenario, yet I understand the necessity in the current climate. I feel disempowered and, ironically, even more socially isolated. I have no experience of using Microsoft Teams and would like you to send me details of how I can access this to observe, and so take part in, the Preliminary Meeting. I must say that what frustrates



me further is that I am not only a resident of the village living near to the proposed development site, but also directly involved because the Applicant (SPR) wish to take permanent rights over some of my land. I am aware that initially, this is not pertinent ie. to the Preliminary Meeting, but I give you notice here that I will want to orally participate in subsequent public planning events, hence my request for advice and help using the technology from the Planning Inspectorate Team.

Michael Challoner Jones

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

items 2&3 As the government has stated that conferences will be permitted from 1st October the Examining authorities should not hold "virtual meetings" but wait until after that date for real meetings - I cannot do virtual meetings as previously explained.

Agenda Item 4

EA1N & EA2 Why are there two separate and near identical DCO applications and why is the grid not also submitting a DCO? There are a total 10 projects in the pipeline for this area at present and that is before the next round of leasing! The total effect of all these projects should be considered together - not piecemeal.

Agenda Item 6

The BEIS have announced a review of the way that offshore transmission networks are designed and delivered in a satisfactory long term manner. This is clearly not being done in a coordinated manner via these DCOs at present.

The reviews should be suspended until the review is published.

Agenda Item 7

All actions should be suspended until after the BEIS review.

Agenda Item 8

The timing is inappropriate for further visits etc.

Is there anything else? I am strongly in favour of clean energy but not at the cost of the rape of the countryside with all the unnecessary losses. There are offshore solutions already in operation in other countries!

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Michael Mahony

Interested in: Both Applications



Agenda Items 2 & 3

EA1N & EA2 - what are the Examining Authorities' views of the impact on the examinations timetables of the Offshore Transmission Network Review announced by BEIS on 15 July 2020? The Examining Authorities are no doubt aware that the Review acknowledges in the context of the development of offshore wind that there are "...considerable environmental and local impacts, particularly from the associated onshore infrastructure required to connect to the national transmission network.". These are impacts which will fall to be considered as part of the examinations processes and Scottish Power may well and National Grid will participate in that Review. A copy of the BEIS announcement and the terms of reference of the Review have been emailed to the Case Teams.

Agenda Item 4

EA1N & EA2 - the references below are to the issues as numbered in the Examining Authorities' Initial Assessments of Principal Issues.

Issue 6 - the the third sub issue should be expanded to include the implications of the BEIS Offshore Transmission Network Review as referred to in section 11 above.

Issue 7 - cumulative effects should be considered in the context of the adequacy of the Environmental Statement. It should be noted that since the time of making Relevant Representations two further offshore interconnector projects (known as SCD1 and SCD2) are being proposed by National Grid (its NGESO/NGET divisions) for which onshore infrastructure will be required in the same area (Sizewell/Leiston) as proposed for the Scottish Power and National Grid NSIPs which comprise the EA1N and EA2 projects. These projects are referred to in NGESO's Network Options Assessment document dated January 2020. This document or a link to it has been emailed to the Case Teams.

Issue 15 - the interface with other offshore energy projects should be specifically identified under this issue, namely NGV's Nautilus and Eurolink interconnector projects, the Greater Gabbard windfarm extension, the Galloper windfarm extension, National Grid's SCD1 and SCD2 interconnector projects.

Agenda Item 8

- 1. There is a need for ASIs to include my home, garden and land including their boundary with the Saxmundham Road (B1121) where National Grid and Scottish Power are seeking possession to conduct works. Further the access point to the proposed operational access road from the Saxmundham Road (B1121) should be inspected.
- 2. There must be a Compulsory Acquisition Hearing in this case, not least because Scottish Power/National Grid are seeking substantial permanent and temporary rights over my land, including land which forms part of my home and garden. Fairness requires a hearing to determine the issues raised in my Relevant Representations. Further sufficient time should be allocated at any CAH to deal with the issues which affect my land and in particular (but not exclusively) Scottish Power/National Grid's justification for acquiring rights over land which forms part of my home and garden.



- 3. With the exception of the new member of the examining authorities, the examining authorities have not conducted a site inspection during the summer months when the landscape looks very different compared to the middle of winter. Accordingly it is suggested that a site inspection should be conducted in the late summer/autumn.
- 4. On Issue Specific Hearings there is a clear and compelling need for hearings in respect of matters relating to onshore impacts to ensure the adequate examination of the relevant issues and so that interested parties have a fair chance to put their case.
- 5. It is necessary to hold issue specific hearings in respect of the impacts of the proposed developments particularly in the Friston area (as well as along the cable route) and proposed mitigation measures in respect of those impacts.
- 6. Separately, hearings should be held in relation to the grid connection location and substation site in respect of:
- site selection
- alternatives
- interrelationships with other projects
- cumulative impacts,

and for these hearings, National Grid and the developers of other projects should be invited to participate.

7. The need for hearings to be held in relation to the draft Development Consent Order, as identified by the examining authorities on page B9 of the Rule 6 letter dated 16 July 2020, is supported given the excessive flexibility granted to the developer by the current draft DCO.

Is there anything else? 1. A number of interested parties are not receiving email updates from the Planning Inspectorate on a regular basis despite being registered for such updates. This issue has been brought to PINS' attention before but the problem persists.

- 2. The use of Microsoft forms for submissions of this type is not helpful in particular,
- there is no facility to view the entirety of the form before it is completed so one can assess the work that will be required to complete the form and how the different options offered within the form work
- there is no mechanism to save a copy of the form for future reference once it is completed
- other than an acknowledgement that the form has been submitted upon submission, there is no email/document provided by the Planning Inspectorate which confirms this is the case and which can act as a record of submission.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I will be submitting one or more supporting documents (.pdf files) by email

Mr Martin Freeman

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

The following comments / questions relate to both applications:

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

The following comments / questions relate to both applications:

- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

NAUTILUS - National Grid Ventures - Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector

EUROLINK - National Grid Ventures - Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector

SCD1 - National Grid - Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector

SCD2 - National Grid - Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector

GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension

GALLOPER Windfarm Extension



SIZEWELL B & C Nuclear Power Stations plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round,

4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

The following comments / questions relate to both applications:

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

The following comments / questions relate to both applications:

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

The following comments / questions relate to both applications:

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Mrs Alison Andrews

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

As business events and conferences will be permitted after 1 October please wait until physical meetings can be held

Agenda Item 4

- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications for EA 1N and EA 2 to be conjoined? It is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, putting participants at a disadvantage.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

Please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

And please addd Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above: so there are a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely chaotic and shows lack of planning that a whole district should be industrialisedrandomly on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. The Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050) has been completed.

So, the Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting: logically, the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7



Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. Please delay the deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions s until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. With such a range of issues there should be issue specific hearings on key matters.

Is there anything else? Please ensure matters are considered in the right order or else the whole thing will have to be done again once the Review is completed.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Mrs Sheridan Steen

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Given the scale, complexity, and the cumulative impact of the Scottish Power Renewables proposals, I believe that these applications are totally unsuitable for virtual examination. In addition, I know that some sectors of the community with limited access to the internet and possibly low levels of computer skills will be hugely disadvantaged and most definately disenfranchised by not being able to attend the Examinations in person. I therefore request that Planning Inspectorate give extremely serious consideration to postponing the Examinations until such time as they are capable of being conducted with an audience and participants present in person.

Is there anything else?

The Planning Applications should wait until BEIS has completed its full inquiry into the Offshore Transmission Network Review, announced on the 15th July 2020. In addition given the announcement made by the Department of Culture, Media and Sport that business events and conferences by held from 1st October 2020 then live meetings should go ahead. Finally, there must be issue specific hearings in respect of the onshore impacts of the Scottish Power and National Grid projects. National Grid MUST attend both these hearings.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Mrs Pat Dorcey

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?

It seems that live conferences will be resumed in October 2020 so I request that the preliminary meetings should be postponed until they can be live therefore giving the majority of the public a fair opportunity to partake



Mya Manakides

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

- · Agenda Item 2 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.
- Agenda Item 3 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C - EA1N and EA2 - Initial Assessment of Principal Issues

- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension



- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 — ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Natasha Victoria Green

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

I have questions about the Examination process that I would like to be considered at Agenda Items 2 or 3

· Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary



Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

· Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

I have questions or comments about the Initial Assessment of Principal Issues that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 4

- PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C EA1N and EA2 Initial Assessment of Principal Issues
- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- · 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL



proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 7

· Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of th

Agenda Item 8

I have guestions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 8

- · Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.
- · Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2 ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Nichola Jane Winter

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

My comments here relate to both applications. Events have moved on since this process first began. BEIS announced a review (Offshore Transmission Network Review) on 15th July 2020 stressing the inefficiency of SPR's project as well as that of National Grid. The outcome of this review should be awaited before EA1N and EA2 are even considered. Also, 'virtual' hearings disenfranchise those without internet access and those with only intermittent access. Here is Friston we suffer from both. Mobile phone coverage, too, is erratic. As a householder in Friston my energy supplier was unable to



fit a smart meter due to phone signal inadequacy. The option of linking to virtual meetings by landline is completely unsatisfactory and disables the participant from proper engagement with the process. (This point has also been made by the Dept of Culture, Media and Sport - only open physical events enable proper community participation.)

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Nicholas Bruce Winter

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

The Department for Business, Energy and Industrial Strategy has announced (15th July 2020) an "Offshore network Transmission Review".

The proposals by SPR for their EA1N and EA2 offshore wind farms are surely central to the subject of the review Would it therefore not be logical to pause the consideration of the EA1N and EA2 proposals until the BEIS review has taken place?

Also, there is a strong feeling in the local community that we are disadvantaged by holding the EA1N and EA2 examination process online, due to our lack of technical expertise, our lack of up-to-date computer equipment and the inadequate internet local network. The Department of Culture, Media and Sport is encouraging events and conferences to be held as live physical events. In that light, why can we not have some "real" meetings as part of the examination process in which the community can participate fully?

Agenda Item 4

Given the large scale of the SPR proposals for EA1N and EA2, there must be issue-specific hearings to consider the serious damage that the onshore components will have on the environment in East Suffolk.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Nicholas Green

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

I have questions about the Examination process that I would like to be considered at Agenda Items 2 or 3

· Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary



Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

· Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

I have questions or comments about the Initial Assessment of Principal Issues that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 4

- PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C EA1N and EA2 Initial Assessment of Principal Issues
- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- · 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 7

• Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of t

Agenda Item 8

I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 8

- Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.
- · Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2 ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Nicholas Thorp

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?

Agenda item 2 - EA1N & EA2 the examination authority should be aware of the announcement by the department of Culture Media & Sport that business events and conference will be permitted from the 1st October so as much as is practically possible there should be an opportunity for limited numbers to attend meetings & hearings in person wherever possible this does not appear to have been provided for by Rule 6. Agenda item 3 - EA1N & EA2 the examination authority should be aware of the announcement by the department of Culture Media & Sport that business events and conference will be permitted from the 1st October so as much as is practically possible there should be an opportunity for limited numbers to attend meetings & hearings in person wherever possible this does not appear to have been provided for by Rule 6.



NICHOLAS THORP on behalf of Beach View Holiday Park

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?

We have concerns that the developer has not and continues not to engage with the tourism and leisure businesses that will be severely impacted on by both these projects. The only time Scottish Power showed any interest in our business was when their original land agent Savills visited us to inform us Scottish Power thought they would like run the cables through our caravan park/campsite. The lack of understanding and sympathy Scottish Power have towards the AONB, landscape and tourism locally beggars belief, these proposals are very, very concerning as they show a complete disregard for the damage to a protected landscape. What is the point of the AONB protection if energy companies can ignore the status and protection over and over again?

Nicola (Ning) Suzanne Fulford

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

- · Agenda Item 2 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.
- Agenda Item 3 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- · 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17



- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

• Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

- · Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.
- · Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2 ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form



Oliver Carruthers

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2

Further to the recent announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport (17 July 2020) stating that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, it is my view that the Examining Authorities should hold a physical Preliminary Meeting as opposed to a virtual one. It is unclear to me why, for the sake of two weeks' delay, these meetings should be held in such a limiting format. Many of the local stakeholders and residents will be significantly restricted in voicing their views if the meetings are to be held virtually, therefore to ensure proper representation the meetings should be rearranged to be held in person once such meetings are permitted from 1 October 2020. To ensure that these meetings are as closely aligned to the original process as possible, they should be held at Snape Maltings. Please can the Examining Authority provide an answer as to why a virtual meeting being held in mid September 2020 is preferable to, and as fair to the respondents as, holding an in person meeting on or around 1 October 2020?

Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2

Further to the recent announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport (17 July 2020) stating that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, it is my view that the Examining Authorities should hold a physical Preliminary Meeting as opposed to a virtual one. It is unclear to me why, for the sake of two weeks' delay, these meetings should be held in such a limiting format. Many of the local stakeholders and residents will be significantly restricted in voicing their views if the meetings are to be held virtually, therefore to ensure proper representation the meetings should be rearranged to be held in person once such meetings are permitted from 1 October 2020. To ensure that these meetings are as closely aligned to the original process as possible, they should be held at Snape Maltings. Please can the Examining Authority provide an answer as to why a virtual meeting being held in mid September 2020 is preferable to, and as fair to the respondents as, holding an in person meeting on or around 1 October 2020?

Agenda Item 4

PLEASE ADD THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C - EA1N and EA2 - Initial Assessment of Principal Issues

- Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.



As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17:

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2.

Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications. The results of this Review could have a highly material impact on the viability and effectiveness of the project.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2.

The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8



Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2.

Please could you tell us why there isn't to be an issue specific hearing on key onshore matters?

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 - ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS

November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider either bringing them forward to early September prior to the PM meeting, or instead having two visits, one in Autumn and one in Spring? A habitat and biodiversity case cannot be assessed as a snapshot - instead a complete view has to be taken as to the complete habitat and biodiversity of the site. If the ExA reject this proposal, please can they provide a response as to why they consider a single site visit in late November to be sufficient for carrying out a complete review.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Patrick Fincham

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2

Further to the recent announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport (17 July 2020) stating that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, it is my view that the Examining Authorities should hold a physical Preliminary Meeting as opposed to a virtual one. It is unclear to me why, for the sake of two weeks' delay, these meetings should be held in such a limiting format. Many of the local stakeholders and residents will be significantly restricted in voicing their views if the meetings are to be held virtually (due to technological inequality and age), therefore for good order and full scrutiny the meetings should be rearranged to be held in person once such meetings are permitted from 1 October 2020. To ensure that these meetings are as closely aligned to the original process as possible, they should be held at Snape Maltings. Please can the Examining Authority provide an answer as to why a virtual meeting being held in mid September 2020 is preferable to, and as fair to the respondents as, holding an in person meeting on or around 1 October 2020?

Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2

Further to the recent announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport (17 July 2020) stating that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, it is my view that the Examining Authorities should hold a physical Preliminary Meeting as opposed to a virtual one. It is unclear to me why, for the sake of two weeks' delay, these meetings should be held in such a limiting format. Many of the local stakeholders and residents will be significantly restricted in voicing their views if the meetings are to be held virtually (due to technological inequality and age), therefore for good order and full scrutiny the meetings should be rearranged to be held in person once such meetings are permitted from 1 October 2020. To ensure that these meetings are as



closely aligned to the original process as possible, they should be held at Snape Maltings. Please can the Examining Authority provide an answer as to why a virtual meeting being held in mid September 2020 is preferable to, and as fair to the respondents as, holding an in person meeting on or around 1 October 2020?

Agenda Item 4

PLEASE ADD THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C - EA1N and EA2 - Initial Assessment of Principal Issues

- Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17:

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2.



Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications. The results of this Review could have a highly material impact on the viability and effectiveness of the project.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2.

The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2.

Please could you tell us why there isn't to be an issue specific hearing on key onshore matters?

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS

November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider either bringing them forward to early September prior to the PM meeting, or instead having two visits, one in Autumn and one in Spring? A habitat and biodiversity case cannot be assessed as a snapshot - instead a complete view has to be taken as to the complete habitat and biodiversity of the site. If the ExA reject this proposal, please can they provide a response as to why they consider a single site visit in late November to be sufficient for carrying out a complete review.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Patrick Steen

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

I would like to know why the procedure is laid out in such a complicated way and why there is no simplistic guide, laid out in layman's terms, to help interested parties that do not have the financial resources or personnel of large organisations, government departments, or councils, through the procedure. The way the new rule 6 has been written it appears to have been designed to frustrate and put off any interested party who do not have these resources from participating in the



procedure. For example there are far to many anachronism's used which becomes very confusing when trying to understand Rule 6.

I would also like to know how and why it was decided that the preliminary meeting should be held virtually which again puts any interested party that do not have the resources (as mentioned above) at a serious disadvantage of being able to participate in a fair and meaningful way due to poor, slow and unreliable broadband coverage, possible lack of knowledge and understanding with regards various new forms of internet based communication such as Zoom and Microsoft Teams. This seriously disenfranchises many people who would like to participate.

Is there anything else?

The Planning Applications should wait until BEIS has completed its full inquiry into the Offshore Transmission Network Review, announced on the 15th July 2020. In addition given the announcement made by the Department of Culture, Media and Sport that business events and conferences by held from 1st October 2020 then live meetings should go ahead. Finally, there must be issue specific hearings in respect of the onshore impacts of the Scottish Power and National Grid projects. National Grid MUST attend both these hearings.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Paul Bongers de Rath

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C - EA1N and EA2 - Initial Assessment of Principal Issues

• 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.



- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but incorporated it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell C and Sizewell A decommissioning plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments need to be fully taken into account in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examining Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review have been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the BEIS Review into the offshore transmission network have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.



· Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. These visits should take place in summer 2021.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Peter Chadwick

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

I have questions about the Examination process that I would like to be considered at Agenda Items 2 or 3

- · Agenda Item 2 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.
- Agenda Item 3 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

I have questions or comments about the Initial Assessment of Principal Issues that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 4

- · PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C EA1N and EA2 Initial Assessment of Principal Issues
- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- · 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS - National Grid Ventures - Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector



- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 7

• Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of

Agenda Item 8

I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 8

- Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.
- · Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2 ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?



Agenda Item 9

N/A

Is there anything else?

My responses are in a personal capacity and as Chairman of 'Save Our Sandlings' organisation which is a voluntary position. Your form seemed to only allow for either a personal capacity or a professional representing an organisation. Please can you make sure that I am down as representing both in a personal capacity and as Chairman of 'Save Our Sandlings' organisation.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Peter Chadwick on behalf of Save our Sandlings

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

I have questions about the Examination process that I would like to be considered at Agenda Items 2 or 3

- · Agenda Item 2 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.
- Agenda Item 3 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

I have questions or comments about the Initial Assessment of Principal Issues that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 4

- · PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C EA1N and EA2 Initial Assessment of Principal Issues
- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?



· 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 - THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 7

• Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of t

Agenda Item 8

I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 8



- Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.
- · Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2 ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Is there anything else? I have made two submissions - one in a personal capacity as local resident and this second one in the capacity of Chairman of 'Save Our Sandlings' Organisation. This second position is a voluntary one not a professional one but I would like it registered that I am making submissions in both capacities. Thank you.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Peter Furnivall

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Both, item2. Physical meetings, not virtual, and at Snape Matings as original, from 1 oct permission.

Both, item 3. Same as for item 2.

Agenda Item 4

For both applications:

- 1. Joining the 2 separate DCO applications is unreasonable and unfair, allowing distinct advantage to applicant.
- 2. National grid should properly have made its own application. Why not?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15, 17. Cumulative effect. Please list the following energy projects: Nautilus Sizewell to Belgium interconnector. Eorolink Sizewell to Holland interconnector. SCD1 Sizewell to Canterbury grid interconnector. SCD2 Sizewell to Sellindge interconnector. Greater Gabbard windfarm extension. Galloper wind farm extension. Also Sizewell B and C, and EA1N and EA2, ie 10 separate energy projects in the area from 2021 to 2035. They should all be drawn attention to in EA1N and EA2 applications as huge effect on the area.

Agenda Item 6

Both item 6. Prelim meetings should be postponed until BEIS review completed.

Agenda Item 7

Both item 7. All deadlines should be delayed til BEIS review completed.

Agenda Item 8



Both item 8. Specific hearings please on key onshore matters. Also site visits must be before bird migration otherwise not accurate assessment of effect. Bring earlier than Prelim Meeting ie august.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Piers Sturridge

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 EA1N & EA2

As the DCMS on 17th July 2020 states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1st October 2020 the Examining Authorities should hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled after the 1st October.

The venue should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

This is particularly relevant as the internet services provided by Open Reach in much of the area surrounding Friston is totally inadequate and unable to sustain Zoom or similar conferencing services which will adversely affect many of the people who live close to the site and who will be most affected by the projects whilst favouring the experts and technicians and power company executives.

The delay would be minimal in the big picture of this catastrophic project and be fair of the local population.

Agenda Item 3 EA1N & EA2

As the DCMS on 17th July 2020 states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1st October 2020 the Examining Authorities should hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled after the 1st October.

The venue should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

This is particularly relevant as the internet services provided by Open Reach in much of the area surrounding Friston is totally inadequate and unable to sustain Zoom or similar conferencing services which will adversely affect many of the people who live close to the site and who will be most affected by the projects whilst favouring the experts and technicians and power company executives.

The delay would be minimal in the big picture of this catastrophic project and be fair of the local population.

Agenda Item 4

• PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C - EA1N and EA2 - Initial Assessment of Principal Issues



- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.
- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B, C & D plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4.

It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale. ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate and the overall affect of all these projects on this heritage rural area.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2.

Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7



I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Revie

Agenda Item 8

I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2.

There should be issue-specific hearings on key onshore matters.

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 - ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS

November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wildlife hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting or delay them to May 2021?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Richard Cooper

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Given the scale, complexity, and the cumulative impact of the Scottish Power Renewables proposals, it is my view that these applications are totally unsuitable for virtual examination. In addition, some sectors of the community with limited access to the internet and possibly low levels of computer skills will be disadvantaged and potentially disenfranchised by not being able to attend the Examinations in person.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Richard Piercy

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?

The procedure, as set out in new rule 6 appears extremely complicated for the layman, and would appear to be designed to frustrate an interested party in fully engaging in the procedure. Why is the preliminary meeting being held virtually, which in our rural environment of poor broadband coverage, will disenfranchise many interested parties?



Why have the planning applications for East Anglia One North and East Anglia Two not been put on hold, bearing in mind the Department of Business Energy and Industrial Strategies have yet to hold a full enquiry into the Offshore Transmission Network?

Richard Henry Reeves

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?

No survey or planning has mentioned the major aquifer that lies under the bed of the North Sea, extending inland, at a depth of approximately 15meters below ground of postcode IP16 4UB, and surrounding postcodes as far as the centre of Thorpeness. The aquifer supplies water directly, by wells and pumping, to both dwellings and all the agricultural infrastructure of the area. Undermining and / or tunnelling through this aquifer will destroy the source of drinking water to homes and businesses in this large area, including the proposed Landfall site and entire proposed cable route, all of which will be flooded if the aquifer is breached. No part of the proposed procedures for the Preliminary Meetings seems to indicate that investigation of this geological feature will find an arena for survey, exploration, or discussion.

Rosie Norton

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Since there are other windfarms coming along why not consider these at a planning meeting some time in the future, along with these two applications so that there is a co-ordinated strategy to renewable energy production with an offshore Ring Main and an offshore substation. It is appalling that these windfarm applications are all considered one or two at a time. The energy production companies MUST get together and set out a future strategy that makes them more efficient, and communicate with each other, rather than this piecemeal approach which is carving up and destroying so much lovely countryside, for very little benefit when there are only one or two windfarms applying ad hoc. This application should be delayed until a more sensible approach is taken by these energy companies.

Agenda Item 4

This area of Suffolk is wildlife rich and encompasses heathland and other fragile and precious ecosystems. The harm that this application can do is massive and the damage can never be made right, however much mitigation is put in place, which does not always succeed. This means that animals and plants will be lost forever. Many of these animals are suffering reductions in populations such as the adder, which is present all around this area, not always to breed, but to hunt for food a sit moves around the Sandlings. The land will be fragmented meaning they and other will suffer, and in some cases will die. Such upheaval is not warranted for this project. This is also an area of beauty where residents and holiday makers come to relax and enjoy it. It will therefore do



untold damage to local businesses and the tourist industry in Suffolk, which means that many people will lose their jobs. Placing these cables elsewhere, where another trench already exists could be an answer, such as the Bawdsey trench, but far better would be to wait and set up an offshore Ring Main connection and an Offshore Substation, such as in the Netherlands. There is also a very important question here. Many people, probably hundreds, would have liked to be involved in this part of the Agenda, but virtual methods mean that they are excluded and have no representation. Virtual examination is not a practical solution. To ensure that as many people as possible can take part in this discussion this application should should be delayed until the whole project can be discussed in a right and proper manner and not just in a way that happens to suit the applicant.

Agenda Item 5

There are other windfarms coming along, and soon an application for SZC. This is not the time to consider one application in isolation. It is a time to delay this project until something more sensible can be presented which will take in other energy proj

Agenda Item 6

The timetable should be delayed until a more sensible solution is found that will obviate the need for on land substations. An Offshore Ring Main and Offshore Substation should be requested by the government.

Agenda Item 7

These should be delayed. See 14 above.

Agenda Item 8

These should be delayed. See 14 above.

Agenda Item 9

I do not believe that delaying this application to set up the Offshore Ring Main and Substation is on this Agenda. Why not? Also, and this is for Agenda item 10. A virtual hearing is unfair. So many people will not be able to take part who would have li

Is there anything else?

Please cancel the virtual Hearing and have a proper one, then I will be able to take part! PLEASE E-MAIL ME A COPY OF THIS FORM AS I DON'T KNOW IF I WILL BE ABLE TO PRINT IT.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Rt Hon Dr Therese Coffey MP

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3



I am opposed to the suggestion that the Planning Inspectorate can only meet virtually. My constituents have raised with me the various points below about the difficulties of virtual only hearings and I think it should be possible to organise a hybrid system.

'The absence of meetings and hearings in the local area where the developments are proposed (and the potential lack of accompanied site visits), will lead to the perception that the community's voice is being even further weakened in order to push through these unnecessary, unsustainable and deeply unpopular onshore developments.

Digital technology is not always entirely reliable in rural areas and it is no substitute for interacting and communicating with somebody in the same physical space, particularly when there are complex and difficult issues to discuss. So however effective the technology being tested by the Planning Inspectorate may be it can never be a substitute for a truly public meeting. Please consider the following.

- 1. Mismatch in resources between Scottish Power and local residents. By moving to virtual events you will further entrench the mismatch in resources, the unfairness and the lack of openness.
- 2. Deficiencies in rural broadband/internet connectivity Rural internet/broadband in Suffolk can be unreliable and/or slow. Therefore the quality of engagement with a virtual event particularly for long periods is unlikely to be good and will bear no comparison to participation in a real meeting/hearing.
- 3. Difficulties in interaction Aside from engaging with the virtual event directly, there will also be the need for participants to communicate between themselves and their advisers. Digital technology will inhibit easy interaction.
- 4. Lack of confidence/familiarity with digital technology This community has varying degrees of familiarity and confidence in using digital technology. This will act to exclude people from full participation in the examination process. Your questionnaire, which even you admit is rather long, may well prove intimidating to a number of people and as a result it is possible, they will not complete it. Please note the absence of a response to your questionnaire should not be taken as agreement to virtual events.
- 5. An examination is not a business meeting or a court hearing You give examples in your letter that video conferencing has been in use for business meetings and court hearings. However they are poor comparisons.'

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Sally Ann Sturridge

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else? Re the examination process.

Agenda Item 2. EA1N and EA2. Given the dept of Culture Media and Sport announcement of 17th July 2020, stating business events and conferences will be permitted from 1st October 2020, the



Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 3. Same as Agenda Item 2.

SEAS (Suffolk Energy Action Solutions) Campaign Group

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

- Agenda Item 2 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.
- Agenda Item 3 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

- PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C EA1N and EA2 Initial Assessment of Principal Issues
- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector



- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

• Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

• Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

- Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.
- Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2 ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Shanna Jayne Paterson on behalf of the Marine Management Organisation (MMO)

Interested in: Only the Application for East Anglia TWO

Is there anything else?

The MMO's response to the rule 6 letter will be issued before the deadline via email.



Simon Nicholas Fulford

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

- · Agenda Item 2 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.
- · Agenda Item 3 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- · 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension
- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension



Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

• Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

- · Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.
- · Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2 ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Simon John Andrew Ive on behalf of Friston Parochial Church Council Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?

I am submitting separately my immediate procedural concerns by e-mail. These apply also to my personal concerns –

Simon John Andrew Ive

Interested in: Both Applications



I have prepared a separate paper regarding my immediate procedural concerns and this is being emailed to you concurrently. These comments apply also to Friston Parochial Church Council

Tessa Katharine Wojtczak

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Please note these concerns about the Examination Process that I should like to be considered at Agenda Items 2/3.

Agenda 2.

EA1N and EA2. Given that the Department of Culture, Media and Sport announced on 17 July that business events and conferences are to be permitted from October 1, I do not believe that the Examining Body should be should be obliged to push through a virtual hearing of two such significant projects, especially concurrently, shortly before this date. That circumstance alone, of 2 DCOs being conducted together, is unprecedented., and will increase complexity. The present arrangement favours the Applicant, as it is widely accepted that local internet connectivity is not reliable, and many seeking to represent their views will not have the opportunity to do so. The venue for the meeting should be Snape Maltings, as originally planned.

Agenda3.

EA1N and EA2. Given that the Department of Culture, Media and Sport announced on 17 July that business events and conferences are to be permitted from October 1, I do not believe that the Examining Body should be should be obliged to push through a virtual hearing of two such significant projects, especially concurrently, shortly before this date. That circumstance alone, of 2 DCOs being conducted together, is unprecedented., and will increase complexity. The present arrangement favours the Applicant, as it is widely accepted that local internet connectivity is not reliable, and many seeking to represent their views will not have the opportunity to do so. The venue for the meeting should be Snape Maltings, as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

Please note these questions and remarks about the Initial Assessment to be considered at Agenda Item 4. Please add these remarks to Agenda Item 4 Annex C, for both EAIN and EA2- Initial Assessment of Principal Issues.

1. EA1N. The National Planning Inspectorate must not allow these two separate and highly significant Applications, SPR EA1 and EA2, to be conjoined. Not only is this unprecedented; I understand that the P.I.itself has concerns as to how efficiently these applications can be managed in tandem. What is the justification? There is every probability that the two Projects will not run concurrently but consecutively, the second thereby encountering entirely different circumstances through the doubly extended period of construction and industrialisation of the area. Additionally, these projects bear a great weight of significance in relation to major future projects that will in all probability capitalise on the infrastructure that SPR create (NGV projects Nautilus and Eurolink at



the proposed hub at Friston.) The decision to conduct these DCO processes together highlights the failure in strategic planning and overview of the numerous major projects proposed for this area. Please do not proceed with a joint hearing. The consequences for this small area would be catastrophic, and surely merit more considered and contextualised evaluation. Additionally the pressure created for local interested parties, especially at a time of such great personal and national upheaval, must favour the Applicant unfairly.

EA2. Please see answer above in relation to EA1N.

2. EA1N. National Grid. Please consider the role and accountability of National Grid, so significant in this process, from Land selection to future projects at the same proposed Landfall and substation locations. The National Grid has a duty to present its own DCO Application. It is probable that the National Grid will use the proposed substation at Friston for Nautilus and Eurolink. Why has its application been integrated in SPRs EA1N and EA2 proposals, further obfuscating the dual process? It would be good to have transparency through this hearing. It has been exceptionally difficult for local working parties to get any response from National Grid. during this process. Please aid the transparency and fairness of this procedure by requiring actionable Grid to present its own DVO application.

Please note these questions and remarks about the Initial Assessment to be considered at Agenda Item 4. Please add these remarks to Agenda Item 4 Annex C, for both EAIN and EA2- Initial Assessment of Principal Issues.

EA2. National Grid. Please see answer for EA1N.

3. Annex C. Items 2/11/15/17. Cumulative Effect. Please consider following points in relation to both EA1N and EA2.

EA1N. The East Suffolk Council's and County Council's Responses to Key Relevant Representations states their concern that the (major) future (energy) projects proposed for this area should be included in the cumulative impact assessment.

Nautilus, Eurolink, SCD1, SCD2, Greater Gabbard, Galloper, Sizewell C, SPR EAIN and EA2 are all either on the table or proposed in the period 2021-35. 9 and possibly 10 in a small region. The highly significant cumulative effect of all these projects must responsibly and fairly be taken into consideration in this, the first relevant (joint) DCO.

EA2. Please see remarks for EA1N above.

Agenda Item 6

The following remarks apply to both EA1N and EA2.

EA1N. Minister Kwasi Kwarteng, for BEIS, recently announced a major review to address "early opportunities for co-ordination of (energy) projects in the short to medium term, plus a longer term strategy review (for) a more co- ordinated approach for the future... (seeking) appropriate balance between environmental, social and economic costs". This review recognises the inefficiency of a piecemeal approach in relation to SPR EA1/ EA2 as proposed and is highly relevant, right now, to the matters under consideration. The Preliminary Meetings and Examinations cannot ignore the



governmental concerns expressed, and must be suspended until this review is completed to guarantee impartiality and fairness for all concerned.

EA 2.Please see answer above for EA1.

Agenda Item 7

In relation to both projects, EA1 and EA2, it is essential that the deadline for written Representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of this review.

Agenda Item 8

In relation to both EA1N and EA2, re item 8, it is essential that there should be specific hearings on key onshore matters to allow fair local informed participation on urgent matters and anomalies.

Annex C. EA1N and EA2. Accompanied Site visits in relation to questions of habitat and diversity should be brought forward, while there is still an opportunity to evaluate these matters before land dormancy and migration.

Is there anything else?

As a member of a local Working Party who has been directly involved in this process for two years, I would simply urge that the Planning Inspectorate approach these issues with consideration, and use this opportunity to redress some of the omissions and uncertainties of procedure that we have encountered in the process of engaging with SPR and some of its representatives, and with National Grid, while seeking clarification of the details of their plans over that period. Our concern is that necessary energy infrastructures should fulfil their functions responsibly, and that the national co ordinated strategy is effective.

Now that the Government has taken a stance on that view, and is proceeding to implement it, it does not seem reasonable to hasten through a joint Application thar will have such far reaching consequences under technological conditions that will hamper the engagement of so many interested parties. As an Affected Person, living adjacent to the Landfall Site and seriously potentially compromised in respect of access and freedom of movement by acquisition plans not made known to me by SPR but discovered in the parish Book of Reference, there will be specific points about procedure I'd like to raise at relevant future meetings; however the very site I inhabit has appalling connectivity and it's highly unlikely I'll be able to engage in the appropriate manner. Having read in your literature how these meetings generally proceed when not conducted virtually, I can't see that there will be anything but additional deficit and restrictions for Interested Parties. We urgently need to feel confidence in the current procedure. Thank you.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Thelma Joan Cramb

Interested in: Both Applications



Agenda Items 2 & 3

I have questions about the Examination process that I would like to be considered at Agenda Items 2 or 3

- · Agenda Item 2 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.
- · Agenda Item 3 EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

I have questions or comments about the Initial Assessment of Principal Issues that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 4

- PLEASE ADD THESE QUESTIONS TO AGENDA ITEM 4 ANNEX C EA1N and EA2 Initial Assessment of Principal Issues
- 1. Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.
- 2. Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?
- · 3. Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension



- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 7

• Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of th

Agenda Item 8

I have questions or procedural requests that I would like to be considered at Agenda Item 8

- Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.
- · Agenda item 8 EA1N and EA2 ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Tim Beach on behalf of Snape Parish Council

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?



Snape PC has already registered as an interested party and made a 500 word submission which remains relevant

Tony Munden

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

Agenda Item 2 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Preliminary Meetings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned

Agenda Item 3 - EA1N and EA2. Given the announcement by the Department of Culture Media and Sport on 17 July 2020 which states that business events and conferences will be permitted from 1 October 2020, the Examining Authorities should now hold physical not virtual Hearings scheduled for after this date. The venue for these meetings should be Snape Maltings as originally planned.

Agenda Item 4

Why did the National Planning Inspectorate allow two separate DCO applications to be conjoined? It is unprecedented and is causing twice the amount of work for both PINS and participants, thereby resulting in an unequal and unfair process, with the advantage weighing heavily in the applicant's favour.

Why has National Grid not presented its own DCO Application, but hidden it within SPR's EAN1 and EA2 Applications?

Annex C items 2, 11, 15 and 17 - THE CUMULATIVE EFFECT.

As an aide memoire, please list the following proposed energy projects in Annex C and asterisk items 2, 11, 15 and 17

- NAUTILUS National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Belgium Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- EUROLINK National Grid Ventures Sizewell to Holland Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD1 National Grid Sizewell to Canterbury Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- SCD2 National Grid Sizewell to Sellindge Grid Interconnector + siting of a new 25m high connector station 5kms from the Friston Interconnector
- GREATER GABBARD Windfarm Extension



- GALLOPER Windfarm Extension

Add Sizewell B & C plus EA1N & EA2 to the above, that makes a total of 10 energy projects from 2021 to 2035 and more to come with The Crown Estates' Offshore Wind Leasing Round 4. It is completely unprecedented that a whole district should be industrialised on such a scale and ALL proposed energy developments should be seriously considered in EA1N and EA2 DCO applications, the first of the DCO's to be examined by the Planning Inspectorate.

Agenda Item 6

Agenda Item 6 - EA1N and EA2. Given the recent Review announced by BEIS, (a Review into the way that the offshore transmission network is designed and delivered, consistent with the ambition to deliver net zero emissions by 2050), the Preliminary Meetings and Examinations should be suspended until such time as the Review has been completed. The Examination Authority should NOT be under a duty to complete the examination of the application by the end of the period of six months beginning with the day after the close of the Preliminary Meeting. Rather the Examining Authority should wait until after the results of this Review has been published before embarking on scrutiny of these applications.

Agenda Item 7

Agenda 7 - EA1N & EA2. The deadline for written representations, local impact reports and other submissions should be delayed until after the results of the Review have been published.

Agenda Item 8

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2. There should be issue specific hearings on key onshore matters.

Agenda item 8 - EA1N and EA2 – ACCOMPANIED SITE VISITS - November 2, late Autumn, is too late to schedule Accompanied Site Visits. The land will be dormant, wild life hibernating and birds will have migrated. In habitat and biodiversity case, where this would prevent an accurate assessment, would the ExA consider bringing them forward to August / early September prior to the PM meeting?

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Tristan Steen

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

I would like to know why it is so complicated and hard to follow and why I was not informed of the new form required or request to provide more information. I would like to understand if due process has been followed correctly.



Is there anything else? Why isn't this process being delayed to take into consideration the wider review of off-shore and onshore renewable energy infrastructure that needs to occur to ensure all infrastructure projects and coordinated in a safe and sustainable manner.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Victoria Sebag

Interested in: Both Applications

Is there anything else?

These meetings should not be held remotely as these types of meetings can be held in real from Oct 1st 2020. We had an online planning meeting held in July this year, albeit with MidSuffolk, and it was nearly a disaster for us as there was a large amount of confusion with our application. Online really is not an ideal platform if you don't need to do this. Best wishes, Ms V Sebag

William Robert Halford

Interested in: Both Applications

Agenda Items 2 & 3

EA1N and EA2

11.1 BEIS published Terms of Reference for the Offshore Transmission Network Review (OTNR) on 15 July 2020.

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/offshore-transmission-network-review/offshore-transmission-network-review-terms-of-reference

The Terms of Reference state that "with the exception of East Anglia Three, all the other planned

projects in Suffolk, including East Anglia One North and East Anglia Two, are within scope of the OTNR

Medium Term Workstream as they are due to connect between 2025 and 2030". We understand the Medium



Term Workstream will consider the opportunities available to increase the level of coordination in relation to the provision of energy infrastructure and measures to incentivise Developers to engage with this work".

Given this, I request that ExA shall decide on BEIS and ExA shall manage the interaction between the examination of these projects and the Review, and in particular whether and how the timing of the examination shall impacted by the OTNR.

11.1 GOV.UK Guidance - "Planning Inspectorate virtual events: guide to participating" update dated 12 August 2020 provided 'System Requirements' for those external to the Planning Inspectorate who are attending a virtual event such as a hearing or inquiry and wish to speak".

https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/planning-inspectorate-virtual-events-guide-to-participating?utm_source=02e0b9d3-740c-4bb2-8ba3-

 $2e629a8943a7 \& utm_medium = email \& utm_campaign = govuk-notifications \& utm_content = immediate$

Many residents (particularly the elderly population) who live within the SPR Onshore Scoping Area (average age 48-50) are unlikely to have online devices that are sufficiently modern to meet those requirements. I therefore ask that ExA decides to retain flexibility to schedule at the earliest opportunity OFH and ISH meetings at a meeting place capable of maintaining HMG social distancing guidelines in force at that time..

Agenda Item 4

EA1N and EA2

12.1 Issue 5 (dDCO) - add sub issue:

Time Limit for exercise of authority to compulsorily purchase land (unusually specified as 7 years)

12.2 Issue 5 (dDCO) - add sub issue:

Defence to proceedings against Statutory Nuisance - what are the particular assessed nuisance(s) that necessitate such a defence?

12.3 Issue 6 (Electricity Connections etc) - add sub issue:

The Suitability of the onshore Grid connections and overall design proposed, in the light of concerns that have led BEIS to commission the Transmissions Network Review mentioned in my response to Question 3.

12.4 Issue 14 (Nuisance and other Public Health Effects): Revise third sub issue to:

Air quality during construction and impact on the Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) and elsewhere and with respect to European Directive on Ambient Air Quality and Cleaner Air for Europe

12.5 Issue 14 (Nuisance and other Public Health Effects): Add sub issue:



Impact of disturbance of sandy topsoil along the cable route. NB This is one of the driest areas of the country and particular conditions must be applied to ensure dust is effectively controlled continuously throughout construction.

12.6 Issue 16 ((Project Description and Site Selection). Revise third sub issue to:

Assessment of alternatives (to include onshore landfall, cable corridor route and Substations)

12.7 Issue 19 (Transportation and Traffic) Revise first sub topic to:

Traffic generation, construction vehicle Routing, traffic managerment and highway safety

Issue 19 (Transportation and Traffic) Add sub topic:

Effects on construction vehicle routing along new haul roads on residents close by

Agenda Item 8

16.1 Accompanied Site Inspections:

The timetable proposed in Annex D reserves time for ASI's in January 2021

This is too late to inform ExA about specific issues prior to ISHs in November 2020.

A pre-winter ASIs are necessary to ascquaint ExA with cdertain seasonal issues

The weasther and ground conditions in January are very likely to result in cancellation or further deferral

Re: Gipsy Lane, Aldringham. - previous Unaccompanied Site Inspections USI1 (Inspection 1.2) and USI2 January and July 2020 (Inspection 2.2) were confined to publicly accessible viewpoints and therefore did not view the proposed EA1N / EA2 cable/ haul roads corridors in this part of the Aldringham R. Hundred Special Landscape Area, their close proximity to residences in Gipsy Lane or impact on the R. Hundred SLA. I ask that ExA finds a way to timertable a Site Inspection here (land owner permission is available) at the earliest opportunity.

16.2 Issue Specific Hearings:

I should like ExA to explain its reasoning for not having published at this stage an initial schedule of ISHs, as was publisherd in its earlier (pre Covid19) Rule 6 Letter published on 21 February 2020.

I am particularly concerned that Interested Parties may have a much reduced opportunity to participate in and observe discussion and debate on the the many onshore issues that wouldserriously impact in particular the Thorpeness, Aldringham, Knodishall and Friston communities.

I request ExA to resolve to provide such a preliminary timetsable of ISHs at the 12 October 2020 Timetable Due Date and that the scope of those ISHs includes all of the PrinciN/apal Issues listed in Rule 6 Annex C.

Supporting documents to be submitted? I have set out everything that I need to say in this form

Annex A

Submissions received by Procedural Deadline A via email

Alan Bullard
Jan Bullard
Simon John Andrew Ive on behalf of Friston Parochial Church Coouncil
Simon John Andrew Ive
Chris Wheeler
Julia Wheeler

Note:

- i. No additional submission was received by Alan Hatfield by Procedural Deadline A
- ii. A submission was received by Michael Mahoney by Procedural Deadline A. It contains submissions on planning merits and has been published for Deadline 1.
- iii. The submission dated 13 August 2020 by Jack Coe on behalf of the Marine Management Organisation in response to EA1N has been published to the National Infrastructure project page under Response to the Rule 6 Letter [PD-002]
- iv. The submission dated 13 August 2020 by Shanna Jayne Paterson on behalf of the Marine Management Organisation in response to EA2 has been published to the National Infrastructure project page under Response to the Rule 6 Letter [PD-002]

Alan Bullard

Interested in: Both Applications

From: Alan Bullard

To: East Anglia ONE North

Subject: Preliminary Meeting written submission

Date: 08 August 2020 17:15

Dear Sir/Madam

East Anglia One North Preliminary Meeting (16 September 2020)

I am an Interested Party in this application, and my IP number is

I have filled in the Preliminary Meeting form on your website and would now like to make the following written submission regarding the procedural issues for this meeting (I have already made a Relevant Representation).

On July 15th 2020 BEIS announced an Offshore Transmission Network Review, which stated the following:

'In the context of increasingly ambitious targets for offshore wind, constructing individual point to point connections for each offshore wind farm may not provide the most efficient approach and could become a major barrier to delivery given the considerable environmental and local impacts, particularly from the associated onshore infrastructure required to connect to the national transmission network. Offshore wind is expected to play an important role in delivering net-zero emissions by 2050, and it is right that the framework for delivering offshore transmission connections is reviewed in the context of our increased ambition.'

In view of this, and of the wide-ranging damage to the landscape that the on-shore construction of this project will cause, I would simply ask that the examination process for the on-shore structures be postponed until this review has taken place.

I would also ask that National Grid, as well as Scottish Power, should be represented at all relevant hearings.

Thank you.

Yours faithfully,

Alan Bullard



Jan Bullard

Interested in: Both Applications

From: Jan Bullard

To: East Anglia ONE North

Subject: East Anglia One North Preliminary Meeting 16/9/20

Date: 09 August 2020 12:27:47

Dear Sir/Madam

My IP Number is

I am an Interested Party in this application

I would like to make a written submission concerning the procedural issues for this meeting.

(I have previously made a Relevant Representation and completed the Preliminary Meeting form on the website)

Because of the Offshore Transmission Network Review announced on 15th July 2020, and the devastating impact to the landscape that the on-shore construction of this proposed project would cause, I request that the examination process for these structures be postponed until after the review has taken place.

I also ask that the National Grid should be represented at all relevant hearings.

With thanks,



Sent from my iPad

Simon John Andrew Ive on behalf of Friston Parochial Church Council

Interested in: Both Applications

From:

To: East Anglia ONE North; East Anglia Two

Subject: Attachment to Preliminary Meetings Involvement Form



Date: 11 August 2020 12:37:59

EAST ANGLIA ONE NORTH OFFSHORE WINDFARM

EAST ANGLIA TWO OFFSHORE WINDFARM

PRELIMINARY MEETING: 16TH SEPTEMBER 2020

I have found the Preliminary Meetings Involvement Form confusing.

Accordingly, I have prepared this separate paper regarding my procedural concerns. As long as these are noted and acknowledged, I do not wish to waste time speaking at the Meeting. However, I do wish to observe the Preliminary Meetings because I may wish to make additional written comments by Procedural Deadline C (29th September 2020).

PROCEDURAL CONCERNS

IMMEDIATE TIMETABLE CONGESTION

Whilst recognising the difficulties and challenges you face and efforts for flexibility, the reality is that for the majority of laypersons registered as Interested Parties, this is a 'living nightmare' and war of attrition battling the storm of paper. (So far: S6 Letter 53 pages; FAQs 23 pages and more to follow!) It is supposed to be holiday time; Covid-19 restrictions; a hugely complex DCO Application (17,000 pages) against evolving circumstances; the need to prepare for Virtual Hearings and your familiarisation events. How to brief communities? All prior to the known Timetable:

13th August - Deadline for Preliminary Meeting Involvement Form (not user friendly in design). 17th August – Information and details of Open Floor Hearings. (How many pages?)

9th September – Need to register to speak at OFHs. All before

16th/17th September and 6th October – Dates of Preliminary Meetings.

Leaving other dates to be set for Virtual Events Familiarisation and Issue Specific Hearings and deadlines. (How many pages?)

30th September – Deadline for representations on Sizewell C DCO Application (20,000 pages). How do we fit that in?

The Preliminary Meeting(s) are all important at least to understand better the process.

The process becomes more efficient if individuals and groups with common concerns could combine but that is hugely problematical for communities in the current Covid-19 restrictions on large events and social distancing. Hence my concerns at disenfranchisement in my circular e-mail to elected representatives of 3rd August 2020 and copied to you.

AGENDA AND CONDUCT OF THE PRELIMINARY MEETING

I wrote a wide-ranging letter to you on 1st June 2020 concerning issues of responsibility and accountability and the integrity of the process. I understand that this may be considered within Deadline 1. I have additional comments:

As I understand the licence for the development of these windfarms was approved some ten years ago. During the intervening period there have been material project changes; there are now major energy policy issues and reviews; technological developments and emerging related energy developments in this catchment area which demand proper evaluation in the Examination. They are:



- Site selection which has changed from that originally envisaged and seems driven by the
 interventions and demands of National Grid and National Grid Ventures yet they have not at all
 engaged in the consultation process. Their representations are key to the development of the site and
 for potential links for other connections and other NSIPs.
- It is important therefore that they are required to be present at the Examination and set out precisely their aims and objectives for public understanding and appraisal.
- At the very least, the developer(s) should provide visually computer-generated images of what is proposed for their Friston site and overlays of their additional proposals for interconnectors and cable routes in the area.
- Whilst it would seem sensible to delay the Examination, if it is to proceed then the process should be informed by current Government energy reviews and specifically the recently announced BEIS –
 Offshore Transmission Network Review and its interim report due at the end of this year.
- Much importance is attached to Issue Specific Hearings and it is important that these are not diluted. Key areas are onshore impacts. Cumulative Impacts.
- Cumulative impacts are at the core of my concerns for the completeness and integrity of the Examination.
- Sizewell C DCO application requires representations by 30th September. It is vital this is examined closely within cumulative impacts. Its own DCO acknowledges the cumulative impacts on the area.
- In the 'pipeline are issues surrounding the extension of the Greater Gabbard and Galloper windfarms and further licensing by Crown Estates
- And four National Grid Interconnectors all requiring sites and cable connections.
- If everything is remote (you are in Bristol or wherever; the developer's DCO written in far off places (Glasgow/ Madrid), how are you to assess local impacts without more Assisted Site Visits? Site visits should be made in late summer to gauge the seasonal impacts on landscape and communities.

Simon Ive RID No:

Secretary and Treasurer, Friston PCC RID Nos:

Simon John Andrew Ive

Interested in: Both Applications

From:

To: East Anglia ONE North; East Anglia Two

Subject: Attachment to Preliminary Meetings Involvement Form

Date: 11 August 2020 12:37:59

EAST ANGLIA ONE NORTH OFFSHORE WINDFARM

EAST ANGLIA TWO OFFSHORE WINDFARM



PRELIMINARY MEETING: 16TH SEPTEMBER 2020

I have found the Preliminary Meetings Involvement Form confusing.

Accordingly, I have prepared this separate paper regarding my procedural concerns. As long as these are noted and acknowledged, I do not wish to waste time speaking at the Meeting. However, I do wish to observe the Preliminary Meetings because I may wish to make additional written comments by Procedural Deadline C (29th September 2020).

PROCEDURAL CONCERNS

IMMEDIATE TIMETABLE CONGESTION

Whilst recognising the difficulties and challenges you face and efforts for flexibility, the reality is that for the majority of laypersons registered as Interested Parties, this is a 'living nightmare' and war of attrition battling the storm of paper. (So far: S6 Letter 53 pages; FAQs 23 pages and more to follow!) It is supposed to be holiday time; Covid-19 restrictions; a hugely complex DCO Application (17,000 pages) against evolving circumstances; the need to prepare for Virtual Hearings and your familiarisation events. How to brief communities? All prior to the known Timetable:

13th August - Deadline for Preliminary Meeting Involvement Form (not user friendly in design). 17th August – Information and details of Open Floor Hearings. (How many pages?)

9th September – Need to register to speak at OFHs. All before

16th/17th September and 6th October – Dates of Preliminary Meetings.

Leaving other dates to be set for Virtual Events Familiarisation and Issue Specific Hearings and deadlines. (How many pages?)

30th September – Deadline for representations on Sizewell C DCO Application (20,000 pages). How do we fit that in?

The Preliminary Meeting(s) are all important at least to understand better the process.

The process becomes more efficient if individuals and groups with common concerns could combine but that is hugely problematical for communities in the current Covid-19 restrictions on large events and social distancing. Hence my concerns at disenfranchisement in my circular e-mail to elected representatives of 3rd August 2020 and copied to you.

AGENDA AND CONDUCT OF THE PRELIMINARY MEETING

I wrote a wide-ranging letter to you on 1st June 2020 concerning issues of responsibility and accountability and the integrity of the process. I understand that this may be considered within Deadline 1. I have additional comments:

As I understand the licence for the development of these windfarms was approved some ten years ago. During the intervening period there have been material project changes; there are now major energy policy issues and reviews; technological developments and emerging related energy developments in this catchment area which demand proper evaluation in the Examination. They are: Site selection which has changed from that originally envisaged and seems driven by the interventions and demands of National Grid and National Grid Ventures yet they have not at all engaged in the consultation process. Their representations are key to the development of the site and for potential links for other connections and other NSIPs.



- It is important therefore that they are required to be present at the Examination and set out precisely their aims and objectives for public understanding and appraisal.
- At the very least, the developer(s) should provide visually computer-generated images of what is proposed for their Friston site and overlays of their additional proposals for interconnectors and cable routes in the area.
- Whilst it would seem sensible to delay the Examination, if it is to proceed then the process should be informed by current Government energy reviews and specifically the recently announced BEIS Offshore Transmission Network Review and its interim report due at the end of this year.
- Much importance is attached to Issue Specific Hearings and it is important that these are not diluted. Key areas are onshore impacts. Cumulative Impacts.
- Cumulative impacts are at the core of my concerns for the completeness and integrity of the Examination.
- Sizewell C DCO application requires representations by 30th September. It is vital this is examined closely within cumulative impacts. Its own DCO acknowledges the cumulative impacts on the area.
- In the 'pipeline are issues surrounding the extension of the Greater Gabbard and Galloper windfarms and further licensing by Crown Estates
- And four National Grid Interconnectors all requiring sites and cable connections.
- If everything is remote (you are in Bristol or wherever; the developer's DCO written in far off places (Glasgow/ Madrid), how are you to assess local impacts without more Assisted Site Visits? Site visits should be made in late summer to gauge the seasonal impacts on landscape and communities.

Simon Ive RID No:

Secretary and Treasurer, Friston PCC RID Nos:

Chris Wheeler

Interested in: Both Applications

From: Chris Wheeler

To: East Anglia ONE North; East Anglia Two Subject: Preliminary Meeting issues - PINS Refs.

Date: 12 August 2020 12:39:42

Hello EA1N and EA2 Case Teams

Following submission of my Preliminary Meeting Involvement Form I have the following initial comments on the Rule 6 procedural arrangements to be discussed at the Preliminary Meetings for the EA1N and EA2 projects:

1. Given that BEIS announced an Offshore Transmission Network Review on 15th July 2020 with a



key objective of addressing the impact on coastal communities of ongoing and future wind-farm projects, and that NGESO has already started activities in its workstreams within that review with an early completion date, it cannot be correct that the Examinations for EA1N and EA2 should proceed. They should be suspended until the outcome of the Review is clear, as both of these projects would have significant adverse environmental and community impact if allowed to proceed as currently planned, and alternative coordinated implementations with significantly lesser impact are believed to exist even with current technology.

- 2. It is essential that sufficient Issue Specific Hearings (ISHs) are held to cover <u>all</u> aspects of the onshore impacts of the both the Scottish Power Renewables and National Grid projects, including the allocation of Leiston/Sizewell as a Grid Connection point. It is important that National Grid representatives attend such hearings.
- 3. A number of issues may need to be raised with regard to the draft DCOs themselves and further ISHs may be needed to address these.
- 4. It is important that Site Visits take place at the most appropriate seasons and times, in particular to allow the tranquillity of the Grove Wood and surrounding locality to be properly appreciated, and to observe the lack of screening after leaf fall.

Kind regards

Chris Wheeler



Julia Wheeler

Interested in: Both Applications

From: Julia Wheeler

To: East Anglia ONE North

Subject: EA1N and EA2 Public Enquiry Postponement

Date: 12 August 2020 16:01:55

Procedural Issues

Bearing in mind that an Offshore Transmission Network Review was announced by BEIS on 15 July 2020 to form a coordinated view surely it should include the totally shambolic and piecemeal approach which has been taken to date, including the ill thought through projects EA1N and EA2 (along with further National Grid and associated projects).

As this so called 'Public Examination' is an extremely important examination process in which the general public have both a great interest and grave concerns over the future of the Sizewell/Friston



area surely it should delayed, both in relation to the above Review and the need for a face to face Public Examination.

Many of the general public are not familiar with, comfortable with or able to access Virtual Meetings and this very much limits the scope of the examination process. It is also true to say that the virtual meetings seem to have a high failure rate with systems dropping out. Therefore frustrating, distracting and again limiting.

Surely the 'Public Examination' should be postponed until it can be a real/physical Public Meeting when the review is completed and hopefully there is some sort of structured plan with regard to the wind farm and related projects.

Julia Wheeler